

FOOD ALLERGY EPIDEMIC – IS IT ONLY A WESTERN PHENOMENON?

L van der Poel,¹ MB ChB, MRCPCH

J Chen,² MD

M Penagos,¹ MD, MSc

¹King's College, London. MRC & Asthma UK Centre in Allergic Mechanisms of Asthma. Division of Asthma, Allergy and Lung Biology, Guy's and St Thomas' NHS Foundation Trust, London, UK

²Department of Dermatology, Shanghai Children's Medical Center, Affiliated to School of Medicine of Shanghai Jiaotong University, Shanghai, China

ABSTRACT

The incidence and prevalence of allergic disease such as asthma, eczema and allergic rhinitis is widely reported to have increased over the past few decades, particularly in the developed world. Patient and public awareness of food allergy is also increasing. While most of the medical literature around food allergy focuses on the western world, there is also an increasing amount of evidence that food allergy (FA) is prevalent outside of the developed world. The true prevalence and morbidity of FA in the developing world is largely unknown. A literature review of the worldwide incidence of FA was undertaken with emphasis on the paediatric age group, for developing areas in Asia, Latin America and Africa to assess whether or not the 'food allergy epidemic' is a western phenomenon. We found that there are too few data using food challenges, the accepted gold standard, for the diagnosis of true FA to come to any conclusions either about the current prevalence of FA or whether it is rising. Robust, population-based studies are needed to establish the true burden of disease.

A food allergy (FA) is an adverse immune response to a food protein.¹ This may be IgE-mediated (either primary or related to a cross-reacting pollen), or non-IgE-mediated. FA is distinct from other adverse responses to food, such as food intolerance, pharmacological reactions, and toxin-mediated reactions. The term food hypersensitivity (FHS) combines allergy and intolerance. While allergy tests can confirm IgE sensitisation, a double-blind placebo-controlled food challenge may be required to verify the diagnosis.

Cross-sectional studies, such as the International Study of Asthma and Allergies in children (ISAAC) have confirmed that atopic diseases represent a major health problem in many countries, and that the rates of respiratory allergy such as allergic rhinitis and asthma have risen over time in many developed countries. The prevalence of FA is widely believed to have risen alongside this, although evidence for this is relatively limited. IgE-mediated FAs are most prevalent during childhood, affecting between 6% and 8% of children in the UK and USA.¹ The majority of food-induced allergic reactions in young children in the UK and USA are due to cow's milk protein, egg, peanuts and tree nuts. Wheat and soya cause IgE-mediated FA less frequently. With

increasing age, peanuts and tree-nut allergies persist more often than cow's milk and egg allergies, and they are associated with significant morbidity and mortality.^{2,3}

The strongest evidence of an increase in FA over time relates to peanut allergy, where studies suggest a clear increase in prevalence in both the UK and the USA. Two studies, using similar methodology, conducted by Sicherer and colleagues at 5-year intervals, were very revealing.⁴ In 1997, a national random digit-dial phone survey was used to determine the prevalence of peanut and tree nut allergy in the USA. The follow-up study, conducted in 2002, showed that the rate of peanut and tree nut allergies had not increased significantly in adults but the reported prevalence of peanut allergies in children had doubled in the 5 years between the surveys.

Grundy and team, in a study on the Isle of Wight, also compared two comparable cohorts over time (6 years).⁵ Of all 2 878 children born between September 1994 and September 1996, 1 273 completed questionnaires, and of those, 1 246 had skin-prick tests (SPTs) at the age of 3-4 years. Those with positive SPT responses to peanut were subjected to oral peanut challenges, unless there was a history of immediate systemic reaction. These results were compared with an earlier, comparable cohort of 1 456 children from the same geographic area 6 years earlier (January 1989 to February 1990). The aim was to determine any change in the prevalence of peanut sensitisation and reactivity in early childhood. The authors found a 2-fold increase in reported peanut allergy (0.5% [6/1 218] to 1.0% [13/1 273]), but the difference was non-significant ($p = 0.2$). Peanut sensitisation increased 3-fold, with 41 (3.3%) of 1 246 children sensitised in 1994 to 1996 compared with 11 (1.1%) of 981 sensitised in the earlier cohort ($p = 0.001$). Overall, 18 (1.5%) of 1 246 children were considered to have symptomatic peanut allergy.

Subsequent studies in Canada⁶ also showed a high prevalence of peanut allergy in schoolchildren, and Australian data from childcare centres in the ACT and Central Sydney Area Health Service showed while allergies to proteins in milk, eggs and seafood have remained steady, peanut allergies increased by 50% between 2003 and 2006, and cashew allergies, while less common overall, increased a staggering five times.⁷ There also appears to be an increasing number of reactions reported to novel allergens such as sesame⁸ and kiwi fruit.⁹

Although FAs appear to be on the rise, a scarcity of data on their prevalence makes it difficult for governments and health services to react. Collecting prevalence data relating to FA is extremely challenging. The most robust studies require careful evaluation of each patient, with any allergy confirmed with double-blind, placebo-controlled food challenges. Such studies, on a population scale, require significant resources and thus most researchers have opted for a questionnaire-based approach. Unfortunately, questionnaire-based studies greatly overestimate the prevalence of FHS. The reported perceived prevalence of FHS using questionnaires varies from 3.24% to 34.9%.¹⁰

In a recent review by Venter *et al.*¹¹ of the prevalence and cumulative incidence of FHS in the first 3 years of

Correspondence: Dr L van der Poel, e-mail laurivdp@doctors.org.uk

life in the UK between 2001 and 2002, it was concluded that there was no evidence of increased FHS in an unselected population over time when compared with a similar USA study 20 years previously. The study of a birth cohort of 969 children at 1, 2 and 3 years concluded that by the age of 3 years, 5-6% of children suffer from FHS, based on food challenges and a good clinical history. They also found large discrepancies between reported and true FHS in young children but were able to demonstrate that the true prevalence of FHS decreases with age, as is expected given the tendency of milk and egg allergy to resolve in childhood. There is a lack of such robust data available from developing countries.

This article considers the evidence that FA is already an established entity outside of the developed world, where data suggest it is a major public health concern, although it is unclear whether it is a growing one.

FOOD ALLERGY IN ASIA

Most of the world's population lives in Asia. However, there is a paucity of adequate data on the prevalence and clinical features of FA in this region, and specifically of large, well-designed studies using robust diagnostic methods. This problem is exacerbated by large population sizes with diverse racial, cultural and socio-economic means and language. Asia is also unique as its range of different cultures and eating habits result in unique FAs. The most common cause of food-induced anaphylaxis in a cohort of children from Singapore, for example, is bird's nest (27%), followed by egg and milk (11% combined).¹² However, in recent years, data on the prevalence of FA in some countries in Asia have been published.

Epidemiology

The true prevalence of FA in the general population in Asia is uncertain. Estimates from Chinese studies

range from 4.98% in the Sheng-Li oil fields of northeast China¹³ to 16.4% in a study of large-scale, unselected rural Chinese cohorts of twins in Anqing.¹⁴ The latter percentage represented those diagnosed by SPT and therefore reflects sensitisation rather than true clinical allergy. This study found FA was more common among children than among adults and was more prevalent among children in their first few years, in keeping with western data. A study using a parent-reported questionnaire among a large cohort of Chinese preschool children in Hong Kong reported a prevalence rate of parent-reported FA and parent-reported, doctor-diagnosed FA of 8.1% and 4.6%, respectively. This study has also shown parent-reported FA was less frequent among those born in mainland China, and who have subsequently moved to Hong Kong, than those born and raised in Hong Kong.¹⁵

In the late 1990s, a parent-reported questionnaire of children from Singapore estimated the prevalence of FA to be 4-5%.¹⁶ Around the same time, a Korean study using a self-reported questionnaire in a huge cohort of children reported a lifetime prevalence of 10.9%.¹⁷ In keeping with other urban-rural comparisons, there was a slightly higher prevalence in the capital Seoul (12.4%) compared with provincial cities (10.1%).

Similarly variable FA prevalence was noted in other Asian countries: as high as 5.5% in a cohort of Japanese children¹⁸ and as low as 1.2-1.7% in Israeli infants as assessed by detailed questionnaire and SPTs.¹⁹

A cross-sectional study of 656 children of 6 months to 6 years of age in Thailand showed a prevalence of 6.25% in children less than 6 years based on a parent-reported questionnaire survey versus the 0.45% established through SPT and food challenge.²⁰ This illustrates the discrepancy between reported and medically diagnosed allergy, adding to the difficulties in comparison between existing data.

Table I lists country-specific prevalence studies.¹³⁻²⁷

Study	n	Country	Age (years)	Allergen	Prevalence (%)	Method
Wang ¹³	10 144	China	General population	General	4.98	Questionnaire
Kim <i>et al.</i> ¹⁴	2 118	China	General population	General	25.3	SPT
Leung <i>et al.</i> ¹⁵	3 827	Hong Kong	2-7	General	8.1/4.6	Parents / doctors
Lee BW <i>et al.</i> ¹⁶	6 404	Singapore	5-12	General	4-5	Parent-reported
Lee SI <i>et al.</i> ¹⁷	25 000	Korea	6-12	General	10.9	Self-reported
Fukiwake <i>et al.</i> ¹⁸	456	Japan	0-6	General	5.5	Questionnaire
Dalal <i>et al.</i> ¹⁹	>9 000	Israel	0-2	General	1.2-1.7	Questionnaire + SPT
Santadusit <i>et al.</i> ²⁰	656	Thailand	6 mo-6 yrs	General	6.25	Questionnaire
Santadusit <i>et al.</i> ²⁰	656	Thailand	6 mo-6 yrs	General	0.45	SPT + food challenges
Marrugo <i>et al.</i> ²¹	3 099	Colombia	Children & adults	General	14.9	Self-reported
Bozzola <i>et al.</i> ²²	944	Argentina	Adults	General	5.1	Phone survey
Naspitz <i>et al.</i> ²³	457	Brazil	1-12	Fish/egg	29.5/24.4	SPT in atopic children
Naspitz <i>et al.</i> ²³	457	Brazil	1-12	Fish/egg	11.3/4.8	SPT in children
Martinez <i>et al.</i> ²⁴	408	Chile	8 mo-15 yrs	Egg, milk, beef/peanut	7/1.1	SPT
Madrigal <i>et al.</i> ²⁵	291	Mexico	Children	General	3.7	Survey
Avila-Castañón <i>et al.</i> ²⁶	1 419	Mexico	1-17	Fish/cow's milk	12/7.7	SPT
Karabus & Motala ²⁷	400	South Africa	Children	Peanut/egg white	35/30	SPT in children with atopic dermatitis & food elimination challenge

SPT - skin-prick test

Food as a trigger for anaphylaxis

Recent studies describing patterns of anaphylaxis show that food is an important cause of severe allergic reactions in Asia. Unlike the USA, Australasia and the UK, peanut and tree nuts are rarely the cause of allergic reactions in the region.²⁸

The mortality rate from FAs is 0.006 individuals per 100 000 children in the UK,²⁹ while 150-200 individuals die from FAs every year in the USA.³⁰ Related studies of anaphylaxis in Asia are few, although the overall incidence of anaphylaxis appears to be low. Common food allergens causing anaphylaxis are milk, eggs, wheat, peanuts, and soybeans.³¹

FOOD ALLERGENS IN ASIA

Many food allergens are similar in both Asian and western communities. Hen's egg, cow's milk, wheat and to a lesser degree peanuts are known to be allergens.^{31,32} However, some differences, related in part to dietary exposure as well as the existence of geographically specific and unique food allergens, are apparent. Figure 1 highlights common country-specific food allergens, but it is important to note that comparison of specific food prevalence is made difficult as a result of the heterogeneity in the types of studies, age groups examined and definition and diagnosis of FA.^{3,13,19-21,23,24,26,27,33-36}

In Japan, the most common allergens causing anaphylaxis were milk, eggs, wheat, peanuts, and soybeans, followed by sesame and buckwheat.³³ Using a questionnaire survey in Korean children with atopic eczema, it was found that the most common food allergens were egg, milk, fish and seafood in 6-12-year-old children, and seafood, peach, milk, egg and fish in 12-15-year-old children.³⁴ In Singapore, a cross-sectional study involving 75 atopic children aged under 3 years showed the prevalence of food sensitisation was highest for cow's milk (45.9%) and egg white (38.7%).³⁵

Unusual food allergens in Asian populations include silkworm pupa – a traditional Chinese food.³⁶ Oil-fired pupa, water-boiled pupa and ground pupa powder are eaten for their nutritional value. It was reported that

each year in China, over 1 000 patients suffer anaphylactic reactions after consuming silkworm pupa, foreign tourists among them.³⁷ Buckwheat allergy has been described in China, Japan and Korea because it is used to make noodles, cakes and biscuits, and is consumed in large quantities, particularly in Japan, where it is used to make Soba noodles.^{38,39} Bird's nest appears to be the most common cause of food-induced anaphylaxis among Singaporean children.²⁸ It has been documented that the reaction is IgE-mediated and that the major allergen is a 66 kDa glycoprotein.³⁸

Chestnut is frequently consumed in Korea, and represented the third most common food allergen as diagnosed by SPT among adults and children in Korea.³⁹ Royal jelly is produced by worker bees as food for their larvae, and reports of asthma exacerbations and anaphylaxis to it have come from Hong Kong⁴⁰ and Australia.⁴¹ Sesame is a major food allergen in Israel and is introduced early into the diet of children in this country,⁷ while chickpea is a staple food in India and is introduced into the child's diet at an early age and has been reported as a cause of anaphylaxis.⁴²

The relevance of food sensitisation, especially in atopic children, can be difficult to establish. Several Asian countries have reported rates of food sensitisation among atopic children below 5 years of age. The percentages and patterns vary depending on the geography and dietary exposures. In Northern China, around a third of atopic children were sensitised to each of milk and egg, while the study in Taiwanese children with atopic dermatitis suggested high rates of sensitisation to shrimp (62.7%), followed by egg white and milk (both 49.2%) and then peanut (35.6%).¹³ Unfortunately, as patients sensitised to food allergens are commonly clinically tolerant, these data tell us relatively little about the true prevalence of clinical allergy.

ALLERGENS IN LATIN AMERICAN COUNTRIES

Several studies have described the occurrence of self-reported reactions to food and many evaluations have been conducted to assess the frequency of sensitisation




Fig. 1. World map showing range of food allergens in order of decreasing frequency in specific developing countries

tions by means of SPT and IgE assays, yet the precise prevalence of FA in Latin American countries is not known.^{21,43}

In Colombia, a large cross-sectional study was conducted in children and adults in a randomised selection in Cartagena City. Questions covered personal and family history of allergies and FA. The overall prevalence of self-reported FA was 14.9%. Fruit/vegetables (41.8%), seafood (26.6%), and meats (20.8%), were the most reported allergens and the most frequently reported symptoms were skin (61.4%), gastrointestinal (29.1%), and respiratory reactions (8.6%).²¹

In Argentina, self-reported FA in adults via phone survey was estimated at 5.1% (n = 48/944).²²

In Brazil, Naspitz *et al.*²³ determined total and specific IgE serum levels to inhalant and food allergens (RAST, UniCAP – Pharmacia) among children attending an allergy clinic and age-matched controls. In this study a RAST \geq class 1 was considered as positive (R+). Both the levels of total IgE and the frequency of R+ were significantly higher among atopic subjects (79%) compared with controls (26%). Although R+ to aeroallergens were more frequently reported, sensitisations to food allergens like fish (29.5 and 11.3%, $p < 0.05$), egg (24.4 and 4.8%, $p < 0.05$), cow's milk (23.1 and 3.2%, $p < 0.05$), wheat (20 and 8.1%, $p < 0.05$), peanuts (14 and 4.8%, $p < 0.05$), soya (11.8 and 4.8%, $p < 0.05$), and corn (10.6 and 4.8%, $p < 0.05$) were found in allergic children and controls respectively.²³

In Chile, 408 allergic children between 8 months and 5 years of age were skin-prick tested for common allergens. House-dust mite predominated but sensitisation to cow's milk, egg and beef was 7% each in children younger than 3 years old. For children between 3 and 5 years old, milk, seafood, peanut (1.1%) and soya were the most common food allergens. Soya (5.9%) and interestingly, orange (3.1%), were the most common sensitisations to foods in children older than 5 years old.²⁴

In Mexico, a prospective observational survey of mothers from three different nurseries looked for adverse reactions to foods. The diagnosis of FA was based on the patient's history, and when it was necessary, food

exclusion and food challenges were performed. The diagnoses were: lactose intolerance (1.7%), allergy to eggs (0.6%), carrots (0.3%), food additives (0.6%), sausages and ham (0.3%). A 3.7% prevalence of adverse reactions to food was found in this population.²⁵ Another study, reviewing the clinical records of allergic children for SPT results for food allergens noted that 50% of the children were sensitised to only 1 food allergen, 25% to 2 and 3% to more than 6. Fish, milk, seafood, soya, beans, orange, onion, tomato, chicken, nuts and strawberry were responsible for 58% of the total of sensitisations. Fish (12%) and cow's milk (7.7%) were the most common.²⁶

Figure 2 compares the available SPT data for fish and egg allergy in three Latin American countries.²³⁻²⁶

AFRICA

There is a dearth of paediatric FA data in the literature from African countries. However, there are some useful data from South Africa, including a prospective descriptive study of all children attending a Cape Town allergy clinic over a 2-month period in 2008. Karabus and Motala²⁷ analysed data from 400 children including: age at presentation, sex, ethnic group and clinical diagnosis. Laboratory data included: total IgE, CAP-RAST, SPTs and elimination-challenge testing. The data are shown in Figure 3. In patients with FA there was a high prevalence of peanut allergy. In patients under the age of 3 years, the most common food allergens were egg, peanut and milk. In children over 3 years, peanut is the most common food allergen followed by egg and milk. Interestingly, potato is an emerging FA that may play a role in difficult-to-treat atopic eczema – studies are in progress to evaluate this.

Another study looking at peanut allergy in Xhosa children in Cape Town⁴⁴ showed that, despite a 5% rate of peanut sensitisation, none of the children was peanut allergic (prevalence 0%: 95% CI 0.0-2.4%). The reasons for this are under examination and are likely to be related, in part, to cultural differences in diet and peanut exposure in the Xhosa population.

There are very limited relevant data from other African countries.




Fig. 2. Fish and egg allergy prevalence in Latin American children based on skin-prick test data.




Fig. 3. Food allergy prevalence in a Cape Town children's allergy clinic (n = 400).

DISCUSSION

A double-blind, placebo-controlled food challenge is the gold standard for diagnosing FA. Studies using such methodology have allowed an accurate picture of prevalence of FA in the West to be drawn, albeit it one that has few previous data for historical comparison. Indeed, one current concern in the West is the possibility that severe reactions may be under-reported. In comments on the US Food & Drug Administration's 2005 Food Safety Survey, FAAN (The Food Allergy & Anaphylaxis Network) stated that 'Accurate and reliable data on FA and anaphylaxis is lacking, and it is generally believed that the limited data now available represents an under-reporting of FA-related reactions and deaths.'¹

In contrast, a lack of these provocation challenges in studies of FA in developing areas makes the determination of true prevalence in these areas difficult. The prevalence data for FHS in developing areas, where found, are often in the form of questionnaire-based studies, of limited value for the estimation of true prevalence. It is well established that there is a significant discrepancy between self-reported FSH (either allergy or intolerance) and that which can be formally diagnosed. As a result, the data from these studies, despite their impressive cohort size and sometimes excellent questionnaire uptake, almost certainly overestimates true prevalence.

Various initiatives are under way to attempt to fill the information gap in FA prevalence data worldwide. EuroPrevall, launched in 2005, is an EU-funded multidisciplinary integrated project (IP) involving 17 European member-states, Switzerland, Iceland, and Ghana. Since the project began, new partners have also joined from New Zealand, Australia, Russia, India and China.⁵² EuroPrevall aims to develop diagnostic tools, carry out epidemiological studies and examine the socioeconomic impact of FAs. One of its primary objectives is to establish the prevalence of FAs in adults and children, and the patterns of reactivity to the five main allergenic foods across Europe. The results are awaited in 2010.

CONCLUSION

To date, there is still a lack of convincing evidence of a dramatic rise in FA in the West, but there is little debate that it remains an important public health issue affecting a large number of patients. However, the idea that this is a problem exclusive to the developed world is looking less credible. While data from the developing world strongly indicate an underlying problem with FA,

it remains very difficult to establish the true extent of the problem. By relying on patient-reported questionnaire data or objective data from allergy tests, without confirmatory provocation challenges, we are unable to draw firm conclusions either regarding current prevalence of FA or a change over time. Robust studies using gold standard diagnostic methods are costly and time-consuming but will be the only way health services can truly estimate disease burden.

Novel FAs that are found in varying geographical locations are also of importance

especially as exotic foods are increasingly imported from one part of the world to another.

REFERENCES

1. Food Allergy & Anaphylaxis Network (FAAN). *Public Comment on 2005 Food Safety Survey*: Docket No. 2004N-0516 (2005 FSS). Fairfax, VA, USA: Food Allergy & Anaphylaxis Network, 2005.
2. Pumphrey R. Anaphylaxis: can we tell who is at risk of a fatal reaction? *Curr Opin Allergy Clin Immunol* 2004; 4: 285-290.
3. Sampson H. Food anaphylaxis. *Br Med Bull* 2000; 56: 925-935.
4. Sicherer SH, Muñoz-Furlong A, Sampson HA. Prevalence of peanut and tree nut allergy in the United States determined by means of a random digit dial telephone survey: a 5-year follow-up study. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2003; 112: 1203-1207.
5. Grundy J, Matthews S, Bateman B, Dean T, Arshad S. Rising prevalence of allergy to peanut in children: data from 2 sequential cohorts. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2002; 110: 784-789.
6. Ben-Shoshan M, Kagan RS, Alizadehfar R, et al. Is the prevalence of peanut allergy increasing? A 5-year follow-up study in children in Montreal. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2009; 123: 783-788.
7. Du Toit G, Katz Y, Sasieni P, et al. Early consumption of peanuts in infancy is associated with a low prevalence of peanut allergy. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2008; 122: 984-991.
8. Cohen A, Goldberg M, Levy B, Leshno M, Katz Y. Sesame food allergy and sensitization in children: the natural history and long-term follow-up. *Pediatr Allergy Immunol* 2007; 18: 217-223.
9. Osterballe M, Mortz CG, Hansen TK, Andersen KE, Bindsløv-Jensen C. The prevalence of food hypersensitivity in an unselected population of children and adults. *Pediatr Allergy Immunol* 2005; 16: 567-573.
10. Madsen C. Prevalence of food allergy: an overview. *Proc Nutr Soc* 2005; 64: 413-417.
11. Venter C, Pereira B, Voigt K, et al. Prevalence and cumulative incidence of food hypersensitivity in the first 3 years of life. *Allergy* 2008; 63: 354-359.
12. Goh DL, Lau YN, Chew FT, et al. Pattern of food-induced anaphylaxis in children of an Asian community. *Allergy* 1999; 54: 84-86.
13. Wang Z. An allergy prevalence survey in population of 10,144 people. *Chinese Epidemiology J* 1990; 11: 100-102.
14. Kim JS, Ouyang F, Pongracic JA, et al. Dissociation between the prevalence of atopy and allergic disease in rural China among children and adults. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2008; 122: 929-935.
15. Leung TF, Yung E, Wong YS, Lam CW, Wong GW. Parent-reported adverse food reactions in Hong Kong Chinese pre-schoolers: epidemiology, clinical spectrum and risk factors. *Pediatr Allergy Immunol* 2009; 20: 339-346.
16. Lee BW, Chew FT, Goh DYT. Changing prevalence of childhood allergic diseases in Singapore. In: 5th West-Pacific Allergy Symposium & 7th Korea-Japan Joint Allergy Symposium; 11-14 June, 1997; Seoul, South Korea; 1997: 17-22.
17. Lee SI, Shin MH, Lee HB, et al. Prevalence of symptoms of asthma and other allergic disease in Korean children: a nationwide questionnaire survey. *J Korean Med Sci* 2001; 16:155-164.
18. Fukiwake N, Furusyo N, Takeoka H, et al. Association factors for atopic dermatitis in nursery school children in Ishigaki islands - Kyushu University Ishigaki Atopic Dermatitis Study (KIDS). *Eur J Dermatol* 2008; 18: 571-574.

19. Dalal I, Binson I, Reifen R, *et al.* Food allergy is a matter of geography after all: sesame as a major cause of severe IgE-mediated food allergic reactions among infants and young children in Israel. *Allergy* 2002; 57: 362-365.
20. Santadusit S, Atthapaisalsarudee S, Vichyanond P. Prevalence of adverse food reactions and food allergy among Thai children. *J Med Assoc Thai* 2005; 88: 27-32.
21. Marrugo J, Hernández L, Villalba V. Prevalence of self-reported food allergy in Cartagena (Colombia) population. *Allergol Immunopathol (Madr)* 2008; 36: 320-324.
22. Bozzola CM, Ivancevich JC, Arduoso L, Ghiani H, Marcipar A, Fantin S. Encuesta sobre percepción de salud, conocimiento de las defensas naturales y prevalencia de enfermedades alérgicas y patologías asociadas en Argentina. Módulo alergia a alimentos. *Arch Alergia* 2007; 38: 134 [Abstract]
23. Naspitz CK, Solé D, Jacob CA, *et al.* Sensitization to inhalant and food allergens in Brazilian atopic children by in vitro total and specific IgE assay. Allergy Project—PROAL. *J Pediatr (Rio J)* 2004; 80: 203-210.
24. Martínez J, Méndez C, Talesnik E, Campos E, Viviani P, Sánchez I. Skin prick test of immediate hypersensitivity in a selected Chilean pediatric population sample. *Rev Med Chile* 2005; 133: 195-201.
25. Madrigal BI, Alfaro AN, Jiménez CC, González GJ. Adverse reactions to food in daycare children. *Rev Alerg Mex* 1996; 43: 41-4.
26. Avila Castanon L, Perez Lopez J, del Rio Navarro BE, Rosas Vargas MA, Lerma Ortiz L, Sienna Monge JJ. [Hypersensitivity detected by skin tests to food in allergic patients in the Hospital Infantil de Mexico Federico Gomez.]. *Rev Alerg Mex* 2002; 49: 74-9. Spanish.
27. Karabus SJ, Motala, C. Demographic, clinical and allergic characteristics of patients attending the allergy clinic. <http://www.scah.uct.ac.za/documents/SCAH-programme-abstract-book-let-2008.pdf>
28. Lee B, Shek L, Gerez I, Soh S, Van Bever HP. Food Allergy - Lessons From Asia. *World Allergy Organization Journal*: 2008; 1(7): 129-133.
29. Goh DL, Lau YN, Chew FT, *et al.* Pattern of food-induced anaphylaxis in children of an Asian community. *Allergy* 1999; 54: 84-86.
30. Imamura T, Kanagawa Y, Ebisawa M. A survey of patients with self-reported severe food allergies in Japan. *Pediatr Allergy Immunol* 2008; 19: 270-274.
31. Smit DV, Cameron PA, Rainer TH. Anaphylaxis presentations to an emergency department in Hong Kong: incidence and predictors of biphasic reactions. *J Emerg Med* 2005; 28: 381-388.
32. Sicherer SH, Sampson HA. Peanut allergy: emerging concepts and approaches for an apparent epidemic. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2007; 120: 491-503.
33. Imamura T, Kanagawa Y, Ebisawa M. A survey of patients with self-reported severe food allergies in Japan. *Pediatr Allergy Immunol* 2008; 19: 270-274.
34. Oh JW, Pyun BY, Chung JT, *et al.* Epidemiological change of atopic dermatitis and food allergy in school-aged children in Korea between 1995 and 2000. *J Korean Med Sci* 2004; 19: 716-723.
35. Khoo J, Shek L, Khor ES, Wang DY, Lee BW. Pattern of sensitization to common environmental allergens amongst atopic Singapore children in the first 3 years of life. *Asian Pac J Allergy Immunol* 2001; 19: 225-229.
36. Wieslander G, Norback D, Wang Z, *et al.* Buckwheat allergy and reports on asthma and atopic disorders in Taiyuan City, Northern China. *Asian Pac J Allergy Immunol* 2000; 18: 147-152.
37. Lee SY, Lee KS, Hong CH, *et al.* Three cases of childhood nocturnal asthma due to buckwheat allergy. *Allergy* 2001; 56: 763-766.
38. Goh DL, Chua KY, Chew FT, *et al.* Immunochemical characterization of edible bird's nest allergens. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2001; 107: 1082-1087.
39. Kim SH, Kang HR, Kim KM, *et al.* The sensitization rates of food allergens in a Korean population: a multi-center study [in Korean]. *J Asthma Allergy Clin Immunol* 2003; 23: 502-514.
40. Leung R, Ho A, Chan J, *et al.* Royal jelly consumption and hyper-sensitivity in the community. *Clin Exp Allergy* 1997; 27: 333-336.
41. Thien FC, Leung R, Baldo BA, *et al.* Asthma and anaphylaxis induced by royal jelly. *Clin Exp Allergy* 1996; 26: 216-222.
42. Niphadkar PV, Patil SP, Bapat MM. Chickpea-induced anaphylaxis. *Allergy* 1997; 52: 115-116.
43. Rona RJ, Keil T, Summers C, *et al.* The prevalence of food allergy: a meta-analysis. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2007; 120: 638-646.
44. Du Toit G, Levin M, Motala C, Perkin M, Stephens A, Turcanu V, Lack G. Peanut Allergy and Peanut-specific IgG4 characteristics among Xhosa Children in Cape Town *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2007; 119(1): S196[Abstract]
45. Characteristics of childhood peanut allergy in the Australian Capital Territory, 1995 to 2007. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2009; 123: (30 (March 2009),
46. Priftis K, Mermiri D, Papadopoulou *et al.* Asthma Symptoms and Bronchial Reactivity in School Children Sensitized to Food Allergens in Infancy. *Journal of Asthma*; 45(7): 590-595
47. Sicherer SH, Sampson HA. Food allergy. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2006; 117: S470-S475
48. Roberts G, Lack G. Food allergy and asthma: what is the link? *Paediatr Respir Rev* 2003; 4: 205-212.
49. Kanny G, Moneret-Vautrin DA, Flabbee J *et al.* Populations study of Food allergy in France. *J Allergy Clin Immunology* 2001; 108: 133-140.
50. Björkstén B. Genetic and environmental risk factors for the development of food allergy. *Curr Opin Allergy Clin Immunol*. 2005 Jun; 5(3): 249-53.
51. Cataldo F, Accomando S, Fragapane ML, Montaperto D, SIGENP and GLNBI Working Groups on Food Intolerances. Are food intolerances and allergies increasing in immigrant children coming from developing countries? *Pediatr Allergy and Immunology* 2006; 17: 364-369
52. EuroPrevall (2006) WP 1.1 Birth Cohort Update, 1st Quarter 2006. Berlin, Germany: Charité University Medical Centre.
53. Hadley C. Food allergies on the rise? Determining the prevalence of food allergies, and how quickly it is increasing, is the first step in tackling the problem. *EMBO Rep*. 2006 Nov; 7(11): 1080-3

SAIS CONGRESS

The Annual Conference of the South African Immunology Society will be held from 9 to 11 December 2009 at the Vineyard Hotel in Newlands, Cape Town.

The primary focus will be science. An impressive range of international and local speakers have accepted invitations to speak on topics in clinical and basic science immunology. Please refer to:

http://www.saimmunology.org.za/index.php?option=com_content&view=article&id=51&Itemid=36 for a list of the speakers and topics.

The secondary aim is for South Africans working in immunology to finally meet and discuss how to take the Society forward.

We hope that most South Africans working in immunology will be able to attend. We now invite you to register, and to submit an abstract. Information regarding the conference is available at

http://www.saimmunology.org.za/index.php?option=com_content&view=article&id=54&Itemid=37.

Please direct any specific questions to Prof. Willem Hanekom, Willem.hanekom@uct.ac.za, interim president of the Society.