

## Case Report

# HYPERSENSITIVITY REACTIONS TO LOW MOLECULAR WEIGHT HEPARIN IN A PREGNANT WOMAN

V Canti | MD<sup>1,2</sup>

M-R Yacoub | MD, PhD<sup>1</sup>

E Della-Torre | MD<sup>1,2</sup>

G Colombo | MD<sup>1</sup>

1. IRCCS Ospedale, San Raffaele, Italy

2. Università Vita-Salute, San Raffaele, Italy

Email | canti.valentina@hsr.it

Keywords | Low molecular weight heparin; LMWH; hypersensitivity; skin test; pregnancy

Itchy, erythematous or eczematous plaques occurring at the site of low molecular weight heparin (LMWH) injection are generally attributed to delayed-type hypersensitivity reactions (DHR) and are reported in the 10% of patients<sup>1</sup>. An even higher risk of reactions to these compounds is described during pregnancy, with an estimated incidence of 19.8%<sup>1</sup>. Nadroparin is the LMWH with the highest incidence of DHR, as compared, for instance, to dalteparin or enoxaparin<sup>1</sup>. Skin tests, epicutaneous tests and subcutaneous challenge with these compounds have shown an adequate sensitivity and specificity for diagnostic purposes<sup>2</sup>, but we still lack standardised guidelines for selecting safe alternative LMWHs. Cross-reactivity among different LMWHs has been reported in literature in 33-73% of patients<sup>3,4</sup>. Potential alternative antithrombotic compounds are the heparinoids danaparoid and pentosan polysulfate<sup>5,6</sup>. Fondaparinux (a chemically synthesised sulfated pentasaccharide) is actually considered the alternative of choice in cases of allergic reactions to LMWHs, but the experience with this drug during pregnancy is limited to a small cohort of patients, with safety and economical issues yet to be completely addressed<sup>7</sup>. Skin tests with LMWHs have proven to have quite a high negative predictive value, and might be reliably suggested for the identification of safe alternative heparins<sup>8</sup>.

We report the case of a 38 year old pregnant woman who was referred because of recurrent skin lesions localised at the injection site of enoxaparin and nadroparin. The patient was on treatment with LMWH due to a previous intrauterine foetal death (IFD) and to a heterozygous protrombin mutation. A thorough screening for inherited thrombophilic disorders (presence of Factor V Leiden, methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase mutations, antithrombin III, protein C and S deficiency) and acquired thrombophilia (lupus like anticoagulant, IgG and IgM anticardiolipin and ant-beta2-glycoprotein-I-antibodies) was negative. Past medical history was unrevealing and no other allergies

were referred. Written informed consent was obtained. Skin prick tests with undiluted drugs, intradermal tests at dilutions of 1:100 and 1:10 and epicutaneous tests with undiluted enoxaparin (2,000 IU/0,2 ml), nadroparin (2,850 IU/0,3 ml), dalteparin (2,500 IU/0,2 ml), parnaparin (3,200 IU/0,3 ml) and fondaparinux (2,5 mg/0,5 ml) were performed as previously described<sup>2,8</sup>. Results of immediate and delayed reading are shown in Table I.

Based on the skin test results, subcutaneous challenge with dalteparin at the dosage of 2,500 IU/0,2 ml was performed<sup>9</sup>. A week later, given the absence of immediate and delayed hypersensitivity reactions, dalteparin was increased to the therapeutic dosage of 5,000 IU without experiencing any adverse event. At the 38<sup>th</sup> gestational week she underwent a caesarean delivery (because of a previous caesarean section) of a healthy baby of 3240 g. She continued with LMWH prophylaxis for 6 weeks after delivery. Overall the patient has been treated 7 months with daily subcutaneous dalteparin and no hypersensitivity reactions had occurred.

This case suggests that cross-reactivity does not necessarily concern all molecules and skin tests, with all available LMWHs, reliably identify sensitisation to these molecules and might be considered for the selection of safe alternative LMWH, before switching to fondaparinux or to other non-heparin anticoagulants. In particular, we observed a good tolerance to dalteparin in a pregnant woman already sensitised to enoxaparin, nadroparin and parnaparin. These results are of relevance because the diagnostic approach has been obtained during pregnancy, a clinical setting where therapeutic or prophylactic LMWHs are increasingly prescribed by Gynaecologists, but standardised guidelines for the management of hypersensitivity reactions to these compounds are lacking. Whether dalteparin has a better safety profile in pregnant women as compared to other LMWHs definitely needs to be assessed in a larger study.

TABLE I: RESULTS OF THE SPT AND IDT PERFORMED WITH LMWH

TEST LMWH	SPT	IDT 1:100	IDT 1:10	PATCH 48-96H	48H LECTURE IDT	96H LECTURE IDT
Enoxaparin	-	-	-	-	4mm	5mm
Nadroparin	-	-	7mm	-	5mm	5mm
Dalteparin	-	-	-	-	-	-
Parnaparin	-	-	-	-	-	4mm
Fondaparinux	-	-	-	-	-	-

- Positive control with histamine: 5 mm; positive test if  $\geq 3$  mm

### DECLARATION OF CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors do not have a conflict of interest.

### REFERENCES

1. Schindewolf M, et al. High incidence of heparin-induced allergic delayed-type hypersensitivity reactions in pregnancy. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2013;1:131-139.
2. Bircher AJ, et al; Hypersensitivity reactions to anticoagulant drugs: diagnosis and management options. *Allergy* 2006;61:1432-1440.
3. Grassegger A, et al. Delayed-type hypersensitivity and cross-reactivity to heparins and danaparoid: a prospective study. *Dermatol Surg* 2001;27(1):47-52.
4. Bank I, et al. High rate of skin complications due to low-molecular-weight heparins in pregnant women. *J Thromb Haemost* 2003;1(4):859-61.
5. Lindhoff-Last E, et al. Treatment of 51 pregnancies with danaparoid because of heparin intolerance. *Thromb Haemost* 2005;93:63-9.
6. Trautmann A, et al. Heparin allergy: delayed-type non-IgE-mediated allergic hypersensitivity to subcutaneous heparin injection. *Immunol Allergy Clin North Am* 2009;3:469-480.
7. Mazzolai L, et al. Fondaparinux is a safe alternative in case of heparin intolerance during pregnancy. *Blood* 2006;108(5):1569-70 1;108(5):1569-70.
8. Wutschet R, et al. Adverse skin reactions to low molecular weight heparins: frequency, management and prevention. *Drug Saf* 1999;20(6):515-25.
9. Monien S, et al. Use of heparin in women with early and late miscarriages with and without thrombophilia. *Clin Appl Thromb Hemost* 2009;15(6):636-44.

### NEW OMNAIR® NASAL SPRAY FOR ALLERGIC RHINITIS.

Takeda is pleased to announce the introduction of a NEW Nasal Spray, Omnair®.

**Omnair® Nasal Spray** (50 µg ciclesonide) is indicated for:

- The treatment of nasal symptoms associated with seasonal allergic rhinitis in adults and children 6 years of age and older.
- The treatment of nasal symptoms associated with perennial allergic rhinitis in adults and children 12 years of age and older.

**Omnair® Nasal Spray** (120 puff metered spray), is a topical glucocorticosteroid (ciclesonide) delivered as the **first and only hypotonic suspension to 'stick and stay'**.<sup>1,2,3</sup>

**Omnair® Nasal Spray** offers multiple benefits in the treatment of Allergic Rhinitis (AR) such as:

1. A hypotonic formulation which enhances the uptake of ciclesonide as well as it providing a favourable hydration effect<sup>3</sup>
2. A 24 hour significant and sustained rTNSS reduction with effects on all main Allergic Rhinitis symptoms (runny nose, itchy nose, sneezing and nasal congestion)<sup>4,5</sup>
3. Proven efficacy in long term studies<sup>6</sup>
4. A fast onset of action; works from 1 hour<sup>7</sup>
5. A favourable safety and tolerability profile. Less than 1 % is available systemically while
6. 99 % is protein bound
7. A convenient once daily dosage to enhance compliance



### REFERENCES

- Meltzer EO. Formulation considerations of intranasal corticosteroids for the treatment of allergic rhinitis. *Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol.* 2007;98:12-21.
1. Sato H, Nave R, Nonaka T, Mochizuki T, Shigehiro T, Kondo S. In vitro metabolism of ciclesonide in human nasal epithelial cells. *Biopharm Drug Dispos* 2007;28:43-50.
  2. Sato H, Nave R, Nonaka T, Yoshihisa N, Atsuhiro N, Mochizuki T, et al. Uptake and metabolism of ciclesonide and retention of desisobutyl-ciclesonide for up to 24 hours in rabbit nasal mucosa. *BMC Pharmacology* 2007;7:7.
  3. Ratner PH, Wingertzahn MA, van Bavel JH, Hampel F, Darken PF, Shah T. Efficacy and safety of ciclesonide nasal spray for the treatment of seasonal allergic rhinitis. *J Allergy Clin Immunol.* 2006;118:1142-1148.
  4. Meltzer EO, Kunjibettu S, Hall N, Wingertzahn MA, Murcia C, Berger W. et al. Efficacy and safety of ciclesonide 200 µg once daily for the treatment of perennial allergic rhinitis. *Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol.* 2007;98:175-181.
  5. Chervinsky P, Kunjibettu S, Miller DL, Prenner BM, Raphael G, Hall N, et al. Long-term safety and efficacy of intranasal ciclesonide in adult and adolescent patients with perennial allergic rhinitis. *Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol.* 2007;99:69-76.
  6. Patel P, Patel D, Kunjibettu S, Hall N, Wingertzahn MA. Onset of Action of Ciclesonide Once Daily in the Treatment of Seasonal Allergic Rhinitis. *ENT J* 2008;87:340-53. OMN2013-09-014